

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/678,016 10/02/2000		10/02/2000	Keith P. Wilson	VPI/96-03 DIV2	7947	
1473.	7590	02/04/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & NEAVE				ALLEN, MA	ALLEN, MARIANNE P	
		HE AMERICAS		ARTIQUE	PAPER NUMBER	
50TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105				1631	1631	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/678,016	WILSON ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marianne P. Allen	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23,27-37,39 and 40</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 38, 41-62.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_

Marianne P. Allen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1631

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments substantively amend the claims and would require further consideration and search with respect to the rejections of record. The newly proposed limitations have not been previously considered.